

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/873,597	06/12/1997	JON FAIZ KAYYEM	A-64558-1	2066	
7590 12/20/2006 Robin M. Silva FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT, LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			EXAMINER		
			FORMAN, BETTY J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		12/20/2006	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No. Applicant(s)		_			
Office Action Summary		08/873,597	KAYYEM, JON FAIZ				
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		BJ Forman	1634				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
WHI0 - Exte afte - If N0 - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 O	ctober 2006.					
		action is non-final.					
3)□	, —						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 19-22,26,33-35 and 39-45 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 19-22,26,33-35 and 39-45 is/are reject	ated.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bureau		ed in this National Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ed.				
							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) ∐ Notic 3) ∏ Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1634

FINAL ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. This action is in response to papers filed 10 October 2006 in a Terminal Disclaimer was submitted. The rejection under obviousness-type double patenting over U.S. Patent No. 7,014,992 is withdrawn in view of the Terminal Disclaimer.

The previous rejection under obviousness-type double patenting over currently allowed application 09/452,277 is maintained.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 19-22, 26, 33-35 and 39-45 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 22, 26-27, 30-35, 37-45 of copending Application No. 09/452,277 in view of Okano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,434,049. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are drawn to electrode immobilized nucleic acids wherein the nucleic acids are immobilized covalently via an insulator. The claim sets merely

Art Unit: 1634

differ in the arrangement of limitations within the claim sets and further in that the instant claims are drawn to two electrodes. However, multiple electrode substrates for nucleic acid immobilization was well known in the art at the time the claimed invention was made as taught by Okano et al who teach that multiple electrodes provide for simultaneous detection of a plurality of nucleotide targets (Abstract and Column 2, lines 35-57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the '277 electrode by using additional electrodes as taught by Okano et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so for the expected benefit of for detecting of a plurality of nucleotide targets simultaneously as taught by Okano et al (Abstract and Column 2, lines 35-57).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Comments

Applicant requests that the above rejection be held in abeyance until allowable subject matter has been found in the instant application or the '277 application. A notice of allowance was mailed on 26 January 2006 for the '277 application. The rejection is maintained and made final.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BJ Forman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0741. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 TO 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 08/873,597

Art Unit: 1634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

BJ Forman, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634 December 13, 2006